# n-gram Language Modelling 

October 23, 2023
"You are uniformly charming!" cried he, with a smile of associating and now and then I bowed and they perceived a chaise and four to wish for.
-Random sentence generated from a Jane Austen trigram model

Materials of this lecture slides are from the following book, not our IIR book. Chapter 3: N-gram Language Models, Speech and Language Processing. Daniel Jurafsky, James H. Martin.

## Probabilistic Language Models

- What is Language Model (LM): model that assigns a probability to a sequence of words
- A system that predicts the next word
- Applications
- Machine Translation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\text { high winds tonite })>P(\text { large winds tonite }) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Spell Correction

The office is about fifteen minuets from my house.

$$
P(\text { about fifteen minutes from })>P(\text { about fifteen minuets from })
$$

- Speech Recognition

$$
P(I \text { saw a van }) \gg P(\text { eyes awe of an })
$$

- Text summarization, question-answering, ...


## Languages model definitions

- Goal: compute the probability of a sentence or sequence of words:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Related task: probability of an upcoming word:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(w_{5} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- A model that computes either $P(S)$ or $P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right)$ is called a language model.
- Better: the grammar
- But language model or LM is standard


## How to compute $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$

- How to compute this joint probability:

$$
P(\text { its, water, is, so, transparent, that })
$$

- Intuition: let's rely on the Chain Rule of Probability


## The chain rule

- Conditional probabilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A, B)=P(A \text { and } B)=P(A) P(B \mid A)=P(B) P(A \mid B) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- More variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A, B, C, D)=P(A) P(B \mid A) P(C \mid A, B) P(D \mid A, B, C) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The Chain Rule in General

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \ldots P\left(x_{n} \mid x_{1},, x_{n-1}\right)
$$

- For a sentence:

$$
P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{i-1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
P(\text { its water is so transparent }) & =P(\text { its }) \\
& \times P(\text { water } \mid \text { its }) \\
& \times P(\text { is } \mid \text { its water }) \\
& \times P(\text { so } \mid \text { its water is }) \\
& \times P(\text { transparent } \mid \text { its water is so }) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

- Count and divide?

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(\text { the its water is so transparent that })  \tag{7}\\
& =\frac{\text { count }(\text { its water is so transparent that the })}{\text { count(its water is so transparent that) }} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

- Too many possible sentences
- Not enough data for estimating


## Markov assumption

- Simplifying assumption:

$$
P(\text { the } \mid \text { its water is so transparent that }) \approx P(\text { the } \mid \text { that })
$$

- Or maybe

$$
P(\text { the } \mid \text { its water is so transparent that }) \approx P(\text { the } \mid \text { transparent that })
$$

- Markov assumption

$$
P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\right) \approx \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k} \ldots w_{i-1}\right)
$$

In other words, we approximate each component in the product

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{i-1}\right) \approx \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k} \ldots w_{i-1}\right)
$$

## Simplest case: the unigram model

$$
P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\right) \approx \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i}\right)
$$

Some automatically generated sentences from a unigram model:

- fifth, an, of, futures, the, an, incorporated, a, a, the, inflation, most, dollars, quarter, in, is, mass
- thrift, did, eighty, said, hard, 'm, july, bullish
- that, or, limited, the


## bigram model

$$
P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\right) \approx \prod_{i} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)
$$

Some automatically generated sentences from a bigram model:
texaco rose one in this issue is pursuing growth in a boiler house said mr. gurria mexico 's motion control proposal without permission from five hundred fifty five yen
outside new car parking lot of the agreement reached
this would be a record november

## n-gram models

- We can extend to trigrams, 4-grams, 5-grams
- In general this is an insufficient model of language
- because language has long-distance dependencies:
"The computer which I had just put into the machine room on the fifth floor crashed."
- But we can often get away with N -gram models


## Estimating bigram probabilities

The Maximum Likelihood Estimate

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

- $\langle s\rangle$ I am Sam $</ s\rangle$
- $\langle s\rangle$ Sam I am $</ s\rangle$
- $\langle s\rangle$ I do not like green eggs and ham $</ s\rangle$
$P(I \mid<s>)=\frac{2}{3} \quad P(a m \mid I)=\frac{2}{3} \quad P($ Sam $\mid a m)=\frac{1}{2} \quad P(</ s>\mid$ Sam $)=\frac{1}{2}$


## More examples: Berkeley Restaurant Project sentences

- Example sentences
can you tell me about any good cantonese restaurants close by mid priced thai food is what 'mm looking for tell me about chez anise
can you give me a listing of the kinds of food that are avila mm looking for a good place to eat breakfast when is safe venezia open during the day


## Raw bigram counts

Out of 9222 sentences

|  | i | want | to | eat | chinese | food | lunch | spend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| i | 5 | 827 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| want | 2 | 0 | 608 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| to | 2 | 0 | 4 | 686 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 211 |
| eat | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 42 | 0 |
| chinese | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1 | 0 |
| food | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| lunch | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| spend | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Normalized bigram
unigram:

| i | want | to | eat | chinese | food | lunch | spend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2533 | 927 | 2417 | 746 | 158 | 1093 | 341 | 278 |

normalized by the unigram:

|  | i | want | to | eat | chinese | food | lunch | spend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| i | 0.002 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.0036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00079 |
| want | 0.0022 | 0 | 0.66 | 0.0011 | 0.0065 | 0.0065 | 0.0054 | 0.0011 |
| to | 0.00083 | 0 | 0.0017 | 0.28 | 0.00083 | 0 | 0.0025 | 0.087 |
| eat | 0 | 0 | 0.0027 | 0 | 0.021 | 0.0027 | 0.056 | 0 |
| chinese | 0.0063 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.52 | 0.0063 | 0 |
| food | 0.014 | 0 | 0.014 | 0 | 0.00092 | 0.0037 | 0 | 0 |
| lunch | 0.0059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0029 | 0 | 0 |
| spend | 0.0036 | 0 | 0.0036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

e.g., i want $=827 / 2533=0.33$

## Bigram estimates of sentence probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(<s>I \text { want english food }</ s>) \\
& =P(I \mid<s>) \\
& \times P(\text { want } \mid I) \\
& \times P(\text { english } \mid \text { want }) \\
& \times P(\text { food } \mid \text { english }) \\
& \times P(</ s>\mid \text { food }) \\
& =.000031
\end{aligned}
$$

## Practical issues

We do everything in log space

- Avoid underflow
- also adding is faster than multiplying

$$
\log \left(p_{1} \times p_{2}\right)=\log \left(p_{1}\right)+\log \left(p_{2}\right)
$$

## Google n-gram

```
serve as the incoming 92
serve as the incubator 99
serve as the independent 794
serve as the index 223
serve as the indication 72
serve as the indicator 120
serve as the indicators 45
serve as the indispensable 111
serve as the indispensible 40
serve as the individual 234
```

google book ngram: http://ngrams.googlelabs.com/
http://googleresearch.blogspot.com/2006/08/all-our-n-gram-are-belong-to-
you.html

## Evaluate Language Models: Perplexity

- How well can we predict the next word?
- I always order pizza with cheese and mushrooms 0.1

> pepperoni 0.1
> anchovies 0.01
fried rice 0.0001
and $1 \mathrm{e}-100$
The 33rd President of the US was $\qquad$
I saw a $\qquad$

- Unigrams won't work for this task.
- A better model of a text is one which assigns a higher probability to the word that actually occurs


## Perplexity: Definition

- Perplexity is the inverse probability of the test set, normalized by the number of words:

$$
P P(S)=P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}}=\sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{N}\right)}}
$$

- How hard is the task of recognizing digits ' $0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9$ '
- Let's suppose a sentence consisting of random digits
- What is the perplexity of this sentence according to a model that assign $\mathrm{P}=1 / 10$ to each digit?

$$
\begin{align*}
P P(S) & =P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \\
& =\left[\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{N}\right]^{-\frac{1}{N}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{-1} \\
& =10 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

What is the perplexity of language that is generated uniform randomly with a vocabulary of 1000 ?

Intuition for perplexity: perplexity for non-uniform data

You are given a training set of 100 numbers that consists of 91 zeros and 1 each of the other digits 1-9. Now we see the following test set: 00000300 00 . What is the unigram perplexity?

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(0)=\frac{91}{100}  \tag{10}\\
& P(1)=\frac{1}{100}
\end{align*}
$$

Intuition for perplexity: perplexity for non-uniform data

You are given a training set of 100 numbers that consists of 91 zeros and 1 each of the other digits 1-9. Now we see the following test set: 00000300 00 . What is the unigram perplexity?

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(0)=\frac{91}{100}  \tag{10}\\
& P(1)=\frac{1}{100}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
P(0000030000) & =\left(\frac{91}{100}\right)^{9}\left(\frac{1}{100}\right)=0.004  \tag{11}\\
0.004^{-\frac{1}{10}} & =1.7
\end{align*}
$$

## Perplexity for unigram and bigram models

$$
P P(S)=P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}}=\sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P\left(w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{N}\right)}}
$$

- Chain rule:

$$
P P(S)=\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{i-1}\right)}}
$$

- For bigrams:

$$
P P(S)=\sqrt[{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)}}}]{\sqrt{n}}
$$

- For unigram

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P P(S)=\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P\left(w_{i}\right)}} \\
\log (P P(S))=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(P\left(w_{i}\right)\right)}{N} \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

## Perplexity is the geometric mean of probabilities

For unigram model, it is the geometric mean of the unigram probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
P P(S) & =\sqrt{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P\left(w_{i}\right)}}} \\
\log (P P(S)) & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(P\left(w_{i}\right)\right)}{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

For bigram mode, perplexity if the geometric mea of the bigram probabilities

Lower perplexity $=$ better model

- Training 38 million words, test 1.5 million words, WSJ

|  | Unigram | Bigram | Trigram |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Perplexity | 962 | 170 | 109 |

## Generate Shakespeare

## Generate n -grams according to their probability

## Unigram

To him swallowed confess hear both. Which. Of save on trail for are ay device and rote life have
Every enter now severally so, let
Hill he late speaks; or! a more to leg less first you enter
Are where exeunt and sighs have rise excellency took of.. Sleep knave we. near; vile like

## Bigram

What means, sir. I confess she? then all sorts, he is trim, captain.
Why dost stand forth thy canopy, forsooth; he is this palpable hit the King Henry. Live king. Follow.
What we, hath got so she that I rest and sent to scold and nature bankrupt, nor the first gentleman?

## Trigram

Sweet prince, Falstaff shall die. Harry of Monmouth's grave.
This shall forbid it should be branded, if renown made it empty.
Indeed the duke; and had a very good friend.
Fly, and will rid me these news of price. Therefore the sadness of parting, as they say, 'tis done.

## Quadrigram

King Henry. What! I will go seek the traitor Gloucester. Exeunt some of the watch. A great banquet serv’ Will you not tell me who I am?
It cannot be but so.
Indeed the short and the long. Marry, 'tis a noble Lepidus.

## Shakespeare as corpus

- $\mathrm{N}=884,647$ tokens, $\mathrm{V}=29,066$
- Shakespeare produced 300,000 bigram types out of $\mathrm{V} 2=844$ million possible bigrams.
- So $99.96 \%$ of the possible bigrams were never seen (have zero entries in the table)
- Quadrigrams worse: What's coming out looks like Shakespeare because it is Shakespeare


## The wall street journal is not shakespeare

## Unigram

Months the my and issue of year foreign new exchange's september were recession exchange new endorsed a acquire to six executives

## Bigram

Last December through the way to preserve the Hudson corporation N. B. E. C. Taylor would seem to complete the major central planners one point five percent of U. S. E. has already old M. X. corporation of living on information such as more frequently fishing to keed her

## Trigram

They also point to ninety nine point six billion dollars from two hundred four oh six three percent of the rates of interest stores as Mexico and Brazil on market conditions

## Zeros and Smoothing

- Training set:
- ... denied the allegations
- ... denied the reports
- ... denied the claims
- ... denied the request
- Test set
- ... denied the offer
- ... denied the loan
- $P($ offer $\mid$ denied the $)=0$


## Readings

- Daniel Jurafsky \& James H. Martin, N-gram Language Models, book chapter.
- IIR, Chapter 12, p218-264.

