boolean model

September 17, 2023

Reading material: Chapter 1 of IIR

Outline



1 boolean queries

Inverted index





taxonomy of IR models



Outline



1 boolean queries

2 Inverted index

3 query processing



Boolean retrieval

- The Boolean model: the simplest model for an information retrieval system.
- Queries are Boolean expressions, e.g.,
 - Caesar and Brutus
- The search engine returns all documents that satisfy the Boolean expression.

Boolean retrieval

- The Boolean model: the simplest model for an information retrieval system.
- Queries are Boolean expressions, e.g.,
 - Caesar and Brutus
- The search engine returns all documents that satisfy the Boolean expression.
- Does Google use the Boolean model?

Does Google use the Boolean model?

- Not a simple yes or no question.
- On Google, the default interpretation of a query *w*₁ *w*₂ ... *w_n* is *w*₁ AND *w*₂ AND ... AND *w_n*

Does Google use the Boolean model?

- Not a simple yes or no question.
- On Google, the default interpretation of a query *w*₁ *w*₂ ... *w_n* is
 *w*₁ AND *w*₂ AND ... AND *w_n*
- Cases where you get hits that do not contain one of the w_i?

Cases that are not exact boolean query

anchor text

 big blue

Cases that are not exact boolean query

anchor text

 big blue

 page contains variant of w_i (morphology, spelling correction, synonym)

Cases that are not exact boolean query

anchor text

 big blue

- page contains variant of w_i (morphology, spelling correction, synonym)
- long queries (*n* large)

Cases that are not exact boolean query

anchor text

 big blue

- page contains variant of w_i (morphology, spelling correction, synonym)
- long queries (n large)
- boolean expression generates very few hits

Cases that are not exact boolean query

anchor text

 big blue

- page contains variant of w_i (morphology, spelling correction, synonym)
- long queries (n large)
- boolean expression generates very few hits

...

Simple Boolean vs. Ranking of result set

- Simple Boolean retrieval returns matching documents in no particular order.
- Google (and others) ranks the result set
 - they rank good hits (according to some estimator of relevance) higher than bad hits.

Outline



Inverted index





Unstructured data in 1650

- Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words BRUTUS AND CAESAR, but NOT CALPURNIA?
- One could grep all of Shakespeare's plays for BRUTUS and CAESAR, then strip out lines containing CALPURNIA.
- Why is grep not the solution?

Unstructured data in 1650

- Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words BRUTUS AND CAESAR, but NOT CALPURNIA?
- One could grep all of Shakespeare's plays for BRUTUS and CAESAR, then strip out lines containing CALPURNIA.
- Why is grep not the solution?
 - Slow (for large collections)
 - grep is line-oriented, IR is document-oriented
 - "NOT CALPURNIA" is non-trivial
 - Other operations (e.g., find the word ROMANS near COUNTRYMAN) not feasible

Term-document incidence matrix

	Anthony	Julius	The	Hamlet	Othello	Macbeth	
	and	Caesar	Tempest				
	Cleopatra						
ANTHONY	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
Calpurnia	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MERCY	1	0	1	1	1	1	
WORSER	1	0	1	1	1	0	

• • •

Entry is 1 if term occurs. Example: CALPURNIA occurs in *Julius Caesar*. Entry is 0 if term doesn't occur. Example: CALPURNIA doesn't occur in *The tempest*.

Term-document incidence matrix

. . .

	Anthony	Julius	The	Hamlet	Othello	Macbeth	
	and	Caesar	Tempest				
	Cleopatra						
ANTHONY	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
CALPURNIA	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MERCY	1	0	1	1	1	1	
WORSER	1	0	1	1	1	0	

Entry is 1 if term occurs. Example: CALPURNIA occurs in *Julius Caesar*. Entry is 0 if term doesn't occur. Example: CALPURNIA doesn't occur in *The tempest*.

Term-document incidence matrix

	Anthony	Julius	The	Hamlet	Othello	Macbeth	
	and	Caesar	Tempest				
	Cleopatra						
ANTHONY	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
Calpurnia	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MERCY	1	0	1	1	1	1	
WORSER	1	0	1	1	1	0	

• • •

Entry is 1 if term occurs. Example: CALPURNIA occurs in *Julius Caesar*. Entry is 0 if term doesn't occur. Example: CALPURNIA doesn't occur in *The tempest*.

Incidence vectors

- So we have a 0/1 vector for each term.
- To answer the query BRUTUSAND CAESAR AND NOT CALPURNIA:
 - Take the vectors for BRUTUS, CAESAR, and CALPURNIA
 - \bullet Complement the vector of $\operatorname{CalpurNiA}$
 - Do a (bitwise) AND on the three vectors

Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
not Calpurnia	1	0	1	1	1	1	
AND	1	0	0	1	0	0	

0/1 vectors and result of bitwise operations

	Anthony and	Julius Caesar	The Tempest	Hamlet	Othello	Macbeth	
	Cleopatra						
ANTHONY	1	1	0	0	0	1	
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0	
CAESAR	1	1	0	1	1	1	
CALPURNIA	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MERCY	1	0	1	1	1	1	
WORSER	1	0	1	1	1	0	
result:	1	0	0	1	0	0	

Answers to query

Anthony and Cleopatra, Act III, Scene ii Agrippa [Aside to Domitius Enobarbus]: Why, Enobarbus, When Antony found Julius Caesar dead, He cried almost to roaring; and he wept When at Philippi he found Brutus slain.

Hamlet, Act III, Scene ii Lord Polonius:

I did enact Julius Caesar: I was killed i' the Capitol; Brutus killed me.

Bigger collections

• Consider $N = 10^6$ documents, each with about 1000 tokens

• \Rightarrow total of 10⁹ tokens

- On average 6 bytes per token, including spaces and punctuation
 - $\bullet \ \Rightarrow$ size of document collection is about $6\cdot 10^9 = 6 \ \text{GB}$
- Assume there are M = 500,000 distinct terms in the collection
- (Notice that we are making a term/token distinction.)

Can't build the incidence matrix

- $M = 500,000 \times 10^6$ = half a trillion 0s and 1s.
- But the matrix has no more than one billion 1s.
 - Matrix is extremely sparse.
- What is a better representations?

Can't build the incidence matrix

- $M = 500,000 \times 10^6$ = half a trillion 0s and 1s.
- But the matrix has no more than one billion 1s.
 - Matrix is extremely sparse.
- What is a better representations?
 - We only record the 1s.

Inverted Index

For each term t, we store a list of all documents that contain t.



Inverted Index

For each term t, we store a list of all documents that contain t.



Inverted Index

For each term t, we store a list of all documents that contain t.



Inverted index construction

Collect the documents to be indexed: Friends, Romans, countrymen. So let it be with Caesar

Tokenize the text, turning each document into a list of tokens:
 Friends Romans countrymen So ...

O linguistic preprocessing, producing a list of normalized tokens, which are the indexing terms:

friend roman countryman so . . .

Index the documents that each term occurs in by creating an inverted index, consisting of a dictionary and postings.

Tokenization and preprocessing

Doc 1. I did enact Julius Caesar: I was killed i' the Capitol; Brutus killed me.

Doc 2. So let it be with Caesar. The noble Brutus hath told you Caesar was ambitious:

Doc 1. i did enact julius caesar i was killed i' the capitol brutus killed me **Doc 2.** so let it be with caesar the noble brutus hath told you caesar was ambitious

Generate postings

		term	docID
		i .	1
		did	1
		enact	1
		julius	1
		caesar	1
		i .	1
		was	1
		killed	1
		i'	1
		the	1
		capitol	1
Dec 1 i did onast julius saosar i was		brutus	1
illed i' the capitol brutus killed me	\implies	killed	1
Rifed i the capitol brutus killed me		me	1
ble brutus bath told you caesar was		SO	2
mbitious		let	2
in Sicious		it	2
		be	2
		with	2
		caesar	2
		the	2
		noble	2
		brutus	2
		hath	2
		told	2
		you	2
		caesar	2
		was	2
		ambitio	us 2

Sort postings

term	docID		term	docID
i	1		ambitio	us 2
did	1		be	2
enact	1		brutus	1
julius	1		brutus	2
caesar	1		capitol	1
i –	1		caesar	1
was	1		caesar	2
killed	1		caesar	2
i'	1		did	1
the	1		enact	1
capitol	1		hath	1
brutus	1		i i	1
killed	1		i	1
me	1	\rightarrow	i'	1
so	2	\rightarrow	it	2
let	2		julius	1
it	2		killed	1
be	2		killed	1
with	2		let	2
caesar	2		me	1
the	2		noble	2
noble	2		SO	2
brutus	2		the	1
hath	2		the	2
told	2		told	2
you	2		you	2
caesar	2		was	1
was	2		was	2
ambitic	us 2		with	2

Create postings lists, determine document frequency

term	doc	D						
ambitio	us	2						
be		2		term	doc. fr	ea	÷	postings lists
brutus		1		ambit	ous 1	ί.	->	2
brutus		2		be 1		J .	<u>,</u>	2
capitol		1		be 1			(1.0
caesar		1		Drucus		-		172
caesar		2		capito		-	<i>→</i>	
caesar		2		caesar	2	-	<i>→</i>	$1 \rightarrow 2$
did		1		did	1	-	\rightarrow	1
enact		1		enact	1	-	\rightarrow	1
hath		1		hath	1	-	\rightarrow	2
i		1		i 1		-	\rightarrow	1
1		1		i' 1]	-	\rightarrow	1
ľ		1	\implies	it 1	í í		÷	2
it .		2		iulius	1		<i>→</i>	1
Julius		1		killed	1		->	1
killed		1		lot 1	Ę.		(-
killed		1		IEL 1	4		~	-
let		2		me		-	~	<u> </u>
me		1		noble	L I	-	÷	2
noble		2		so 1	<u> </u>	-	<i>→</i>	2
SO		2		the	2	-	\rightarrow	$1 \rightarrow 2$
the		1		told	1	-	\rightarrow	2
tne		2		you	1	-	\rightarrow	2
LOID		2		was	2	-	\rightarrow	$1 \rightarrow 2$
you		2		with	1	-	÷	2
Was		2						_

with

2

Split the result into dictionary and postings file



Outline



2 Inverted index





Simple conjunctive query (two terms)

- Consider the query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA
- To find all matching documents using inverted index:
 - Locate BRUTUS in the dictionary
 - 2 Retrieve its postings list from the postings file
 - **O Locate** CALPURNIA in the dictionary
 - etrieve its postings list from the postings file
 - Intersect the two postings lists
 - 6 Return intersection to user

Intersecting two postings lists

BRUTUS \longrightarrow $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 173 \rightarrow 174$ CALPURNIA \longrightarrow $2 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 101$

Intersection \implies

Intersecting two postings lists



Intersection \implies

Intersecting two postings lists

BRUTUS \longrightarrow $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 173 \rightarrow 174$ CALPURNIA \longrightarrow $2 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 101$

Intersection \implies



















Intersecting two postings lists



• This is linear in the length of the postings lists.



- This is linear in the length of the postings lists.
- Note: This only works if postings lists are sorted.

Intersecting two postings lists

```
INTERSECT(p_1, p_2)
 1
      answer \leftarrow \langle \rangle
 2
     while p_1 \neq \text{NIL} and p_2 \neq \text{NIL}
      do if doclD(p_1) = doclD(p_2)
 3
              then ADD(answer, doclD(p<sub>1</sub>))
 4
 5
                     p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)
                      p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)
 6
 7
              else if doclD(p_1) < doclD(p_2)
 8
                         then p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)
 9
                         else p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)
10
      return answer
```

Question: can we make it faster?

Query processing: Exercise



Compute hit list for ((paris AND NOT france) OR lear)

Boolean retrieval model: Assessment

- The Boolean retrieval model can answer any query that is a Boolean expression.
 - Boolean queries are queries that use AND, OR and NOT to join query terms.
 - Views each document as a set of terms.
 - Is precise: Document matches condition or not.
- Primary commercial retrieval tool for 3 decades
- Many professional searchers (e.g., lawyers) still like Boolean queries.
 - You know exactly what you are getting.
- Many search systems you use are also Boolean: spotlight, email, intranet etc.

Outline



2 Inverted index

3 query processing



- Consider a query that is an AND of n terms, n > 2
- For each of the terms, get its postings list, then AND them together
- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- What is the best order for processing this query?

Query optimization

• Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR

BRUTUS \longrightarrow $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 173 \rightarrow 174$ CALPURNIA \longrightarrow $2 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 101$ CAESAR \longrightarrow $5 \rightarrow 31$

- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- Simple and effective optimization: Process in order of increasing frequency



- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- Simple and effective optimization: Process in order of increasing frequency
- Start with the shortest postings list, then keep cutting further



- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- Simple and effective optimization: Process in order of increasing frequency
- Start with the shortest postings list, then keep cutting further



- Example query: BRUTUS AND CALPURNIA AND CAESAR
- Simple and effective optimization: Process in order of increasing frequency
- Start with the shortest postings list, then keep cutting further
- In this example, first CAESAR, then CALPURNIA, then BRUTUS
- BRUTUS \longrightarrow $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 173 \rightarrow 174$ CALPURNIA \longrightarrow $2 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 101$ CAESAR \longrightarrow $5 \rightarrow 31$

Optimized intersection algorithm for conjunctive queries

INTERSECT $(\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle)$

- 1 *terms* \leftarrow SORTByINCREASINGFREQUENCY($\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$)
- 2 result \leftarrow postings(first(terms))
- 3 *terms* \leftarrow *rest*(*terms*)
- 4 while *terms* \neq NIL and *result* \neq NIL
- 5 **do** result \leftarrow INTERSECT(result, postings(first(terms)))
- 6 $terms \leftarrow rest(terms)$
- 7 return result

More general optimization

- Example query: (MADDING OR CROWD) AND (IGNOBLE OR STRIFE)
- Get frequencies for all terms
- Estimate the size of each OR by the sum of its frequencies (conservative)
- Process in increasing order of OR sizes

Advantages and disadvantages of Boolean Model

Advantages:

- Easy for the system
- Users get transparency: it is easy to understand why a document was or was not retrieved
- Users get control: it easy to determine whether the query is too specific (few results) or too broad (many results)

Disadvantages:

• The burden is on the user to formulate a good boolean query

search engine envisioned in 1945



memex (memory extender), described by Vannevar Bush in 1945. "As We May Think".

- The memex (memory extender) is the name of the hypothetical proto-hypertext system
- Bush envisioned the memex as a device in which individuals would compress and store all of their books, records, and communications,
- "mechanized so that it may be consulted with exceeding speed and flexibility."
- The memex would provide an "enlarged intimate supplement to one's memory".
- The concept of the memex influenced the development of early hypertext systems (eventually leading to the creation of the World Wide Web).
- used a form of document bookmark list, of static microfilm pages, rather than a true hypertext system where parts of pages would have internal structure beyond the common textual format.